

6.) Renewal of the lease makes no changes in solid waste disposal over current conditions. Denial of the lease might create insignificant changes, depending on what use was made of the Marine Terminal.

Discussion:

The renewal or denial of this lease should not impact any utility service. If the lease is renewed, then use will continue at the current rate. If the lease is denied, then the Marine Terminal will either be abandoned or proposed for re-use. The upland facility will continue to use utilities at the current rate.

Q. Human Health. Will the proposal result in:

	Potentially Significant Impact			Insufficient Data
	No Impact	Less Than Significant Impact	No Mitigation Identified--EIR	
1. Creation of any health hazard or potential health hazards (excluding mental health?)	X			
2. Exposure of people to potential health hazards?			X	

Explanation:

- 1.) Renewal or denial of this lease will not create any new hazard to human health.
- 2.) Renewal of this lease will continue the existing levels of exposure of people to the potential health hazards of products released by accident, either at the wharf or from ships in transit. There will also be a continued exposure of people to ship collisions, fire and explosion. Both exposures would continue for the ten years of the renewed lease term. Denial of the lease would shift this hazard to other forms of transportation, but would not remove the risk entirely.

Discussion:

Several of the products transported to and from the Shore Terminals facility are considered toxic or hazardous. The renewal of this lease would not change the volumes or types of chemical transhipped here. The denial of the lease could decrease the volumes of materials handled, and would change the delivery methods from tankers and barges to some combination of pipelines, rail or trucks.